UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/937,439	09/25/1997	MANABU FUKUSHIMA	500.30789R00	1798
	7590 01/25/201 FERRY, STOUT & KI		EXAM	IINER
1300 NORTH S	SEVENTEENTH STRI			
SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON,	VA 22209-3873		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Initiated Interview Summary 08/937,439 FUKUSHIMA ET		AL.
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PETER-ANTHONY PAPPAS	2628	
All Participants:	Status of Application: Pen	<u>iding</u>	
(1) <u>PETER-ANTHONY PAPPAS</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Paul J. Skwierawski</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 12 January 2010	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: .	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 1-10, 12-14			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
/Peter-Anthony Pappas/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2628			
	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner stated that the listing of claims filed 11/30/09 and the amendment filed 06/18/09 are improper under Rule 37 CFR 1.173(b). The amendment to claims 1-10 and 12-14 is improper. Said claims are original patent claims and each time they are amended they must be amended in context with the original patent claim by underlining any added limitations and bracketing any deleted limitations from the original patent claims. There must be no strike through.

The examiner further stated that a supplemental declaration is required to cover any errors that were corrected by any amendment since the last declaration filed. Said supplemental declaration must state "Every error in the patent which was corrected in the present reissue application, and which is not covered by prior declarations submitted in this application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant."

The applicant acknowledged and stated that both issues would be addressed via an amendment.